

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/761,538	01/16/2001	William J. Dally	2789.2005-002 5874	
24319 7	590 11/29/2006		EXAMINER	
LSI LOGIC CORPORATION 1621 BARBER LANE		CHANG, RICHARD		
MS: D-106	LANE		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
MILPITAS, C	A 95035		2616	

DATE MAILED: 11/29/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			N
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	12
	09/761,538	DALLY, WILLIAM J.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Richard Chang	2616	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a Cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U S C & 133)	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 04 A	<u>ugust 2006</u> .		
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This	action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E			
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-4,6-20,22-52 and 54-58 is/are pend 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) 1-4,6-20,22-36,54,57 and 58 is/are all 6) ☐ Claim(s) 37-52,55 and 56 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration. lowed.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine			
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on <u>01/16/2001</u> is/are: a) ☐			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the one of the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corrections.	• • •	` '	
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex		` '	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati ity documents have been receive r (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)			
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary		
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) B) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	atent Application (PTO-152)	
Patent and Trademark Office	·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

1. Applicant's arguments and amendment, filed on 08/04/2006, with respect to claims 1-4, 6-20 and 22-52 and 54-58 have been fully considered and claims 1-4, 6-20, 22-36, 54 and 57-58 are persuasive and the rejection under 35U.S.C.103 has been withdrawn but claims 37-52 and 55 are not persuasive.

Claims 5, 21 and 53 had been canceled.

Claims 55-58 are newly added.

Response to arguments

2. In response to applicant's argument that the cited reference does not support the limitation of "plural switches on plural chips" and "switches of different stages being on common chips". The cited references teaches the functions and performances of switches of different stage performed independent in a form of structure, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to integrate the switching functions of different stages into a single chip integral form or multiple common chips form to perform the same functions of plural switches of different stages which is merely a matter of obvious engineering choice since it has been held by In re Larson, 340 F.2d 965, 968. 144 USPQ 347, 349 (CCPA 1965).

Art Unit: 2616

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 37-52 and 55 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US patent No. 6,693,902 ("Sahlman et al.") in view of U.S. Patent No. 6,243,361 ("McMillen et al.") and further in view of U.S. Patent No. 5,144,297 ("Ohara").

Regarding claims 37 and 41, Sahlman et al. teach a digital cross connect (a Cross-connection architecture for SDH signals) comprising

plural switching stages, each stage having plural switches receiving plural frames of time multiplexed input data and switching the data in time and space (a SDH frame based time-and-space division switch groups where time switch realizes the rearrangement of the time slots or bytes in accordance with the route selection calculated by the decoder processor control before they are transmitted to the space switch),

wherein configuration switching is initiated by a prepare-to-switch signal propagated from a master switch to all switches of an output stage and the input stage, the at least one switch then propagating the configuration select signal (an SDH DXC can transmit traffic between different SDH levels and connect traffic between different signals and the use of the cross connect also includes a possibility for remote control of routing, initialization of reserve routes, connection from one signal to several signals) (See Fig. 1, Col. 4, line 62 to Col. 6, line 36).

Application/Control Number: 09/761,538

Art Unit: 2616

Sahlman et al. teach substantially all the claimed invention but did not disclose expressly the particular application involving limitations of

"configuration storage at each switch storing a time/space configuration for the switch" and

"all switches switching configuration to the stored time/space configuration in frame synchronization at the start of synchronized data frames by synchronizing switches of successive stages to a configuration select signal propagated from at least one switch of an input stage".

McMillen et al. teach a multistage interconnect network capable of dynamic configuration for all switch nodes wherein connections from the first stage expand in space from input connections, and connections to the final stage concentrate in space to output connections or vise versa (See Fig. 2) and

configuration storage (108 mapping tables) at each switch (12 PM) storing a time/space configuration for the switch (See Col. 40, lines 15-31) and all switches dynamically switching configuration to the stored configuration by synchronizing switches of successive stages to a configuration prepare-to-switch signal (select) propagated from at least one switch of an input stage (via the forward channel 32) (See Fig. 21, Col. 22, lines 19-60).

At the time the invention was made, therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to combine McMillen et al. with Sahlman et al. in order to obtain a multi-stage digital cross connect switch and to take advantage of providing mapping tables at each switch node storing a configuration for the switch, all switch

Application/Control Number: 09/761,538

Art Unit: 2616

nodes dynamically switching configuration to the stored configuration by synchronizing switches of successive stages via the forward channel.

The motivation to do so would have been to provide mapping tables at each switch node storing a configuration for the switch, all switch nodes dynamically switching configuration to the stored configuration by synchronizing switches of successive stages via the forward channel, as suggested by McMillen et al, Col. 22, lines 19-60 and Col. 40, lines 15-31.

Sahlman et al. and McMillen et al. teach substantially all the claimed invention and further "FIG. 2 it is for example possible to connect STM-1 signals from 16 time switches, and correspondingly the outputs to 16 time switches" (See Fig. 2, Col. 5, lines 1-10), but did not disclose expressly the particular application involving limitations of

"each switch comprising a time slot interchanger associated with each input and output port for connection with SONET STS-M frame".

Ohara teaches a digital cross connection apparatus (10) containing a Time-Space-Time switch construction enabling SONET ST-M frame application (each switch comprising a time slot interchanger associated with each input and output port for connection with SONET STS-M frame) (See Fig. 1, Col. 5, lines 35-41), and

wherein the time slot of the time muxed input are maintained by frame counter output (See Col. 6, lines 25-30).

At the time the invention was made, therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to combine Ohara with Sahlman et al. and McMillen et al. in

Application/Control Number: 09/761,538 Page 6

Art Unit: 2616

order to obtain a multi-stage digital cross connect switch and to take advantage of an expandable time slot interchanger at the input and output interface node.

The motivation to do so would have been to accommodate a multi-stage digital cross connect switch and to take advantage of an expandable time slot interchanger at the input and output interface node, as suggested by Ohara in Col. 5, lines 35-41 and Col. 6, lines 25-30.

<u>Regarding claims 38-40</u>, these claims have limitation that is similar to those of claim 37 wherein connections from a first stage expand in space from input connections and connections to a second stage concentrate in space to output connections, thus it is rejected with the same rationale applied against claim 37 above.

Regarding claims 42-43 and 52, these claims have limitation that is similar to those of claim 41 wherein connections expand in space from input connections to the first portion, and connections concentrate in space to output connections of the second portion, thus it is rejected with the same rationale applied against claim 41 above.

Regarding claims 44-46, and 47-51, these claims have limitation that is similar to those of claim 43 wherein the prepare-to-switch signal is embedded in the second and third bytes of an STS-48 frame, thus it is rejected with the same rationale applied against claim 43 above.

Allowable Subject Matter

6. Claims 1-4, 6-20, 22-36, 54 and 57-58 are allowed.

Art Unit: 2616

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Richard Chang whose telephone number is (571) 272-3129. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday from 8 AM to 5 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ricky Ngo can be reached on (571) 272-3139. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 09/761,538

Art Unit: 2616

Page 8

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

₽v rkc

Richard Chang Patent Examiner Art Unit 2616

HASSAN KIZOU!

IPERVISOP